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#### Abstract

. In this paper, we propose a method for finding certain eigenvalues of a generalized eigenvalue problem that lie in a given domain of the complex plane. The proposed method projects the matrix pencil onto a subspace associated with the eigenvalues that are located in the domain via numerical integration. The projection produces a small pencil with Hankel matrices.


## 1 Introduction

The generalized eigenvalue problem

$$
A x=\lambda B x
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ real or complex matrices, arises in many applications of scientific computations. Often $A$ and $B$ are large and sparse, and only a few of the eigenvalues are desired. Due to the size of the problem, several methods for such eigenvalue problems are building sequences of subspaces that contain the desired eigenvectors, for example, see $[14,15,16,18,19]$. A comprehensive report on computational methods for eigenvalue problems was given by Golub and van der Vorst [6].

In this paper, we propose a method for determining certain eigenvalues that lie in a given domain of the complex plane. The proposed method projects the matrix pencil $A-\lambda B$ onto a subspace associated with the eigenvalues that are located in the domain. The proposed approach is based on the root finding method for an analytic function proposed by Kravanja, Sakurai and Van Barel [11]. This method finds all of the zeros that lie in a circle by using numerical integration. Methods for the determination of zeros of analytic functions that are based on the numerical evaluation of integrals are called quadrature methods [5, 10, 13].

In Section 2, we introduce a function that is derived via the resolvent of the matrix pencil. We show a projection method using numerical integration of this function. In Section 3, we present an algorithm for the case in which the domain is given by a circle and the numerical integration is evaluated via the trapezoidal rule. We present an error analysis of the method using the results described in [12, 17]. In Section 4, a number of numerical examples are used to illustrate the properties of the proposed method.

## 2 A projection method

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}$ be finite eigenvalues of the matrix pencil $A-\lambda B$. The pencil $A-\lambda B$ is called regular if $\operatorname{det}(A-\lambda B)$ is not identically zero for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

For nonzero vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we define

$$
f(z):=u^{H}(z B-A)^{-1} v .
$$

The function $f(z)$ is analytic when $z B-A$ is nonsingular.
We use the following result about Weierstrass's canonical form (see e.g., Gantmacher [7]).

Theorem 1 Let $A-z B$ be a regular pencil of order $n$. Then there exist nonsingular matrices $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$
P(z B-A) Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z I_{d}-J_{d} & O  \tag{1}\\
O & z J_{n-d}-I_{n-d}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $J_{d}$ and $J_{n-d}$ are in Jordan canonical form, $J_{n-d}$ is nilpotent, and $I_{d}$ denotes the identity matrix of order $d$.

We assume that $J_{d}$ is the diagonal matrix $J_{d}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right)$. Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be vectors such that

$$
P^{T}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right),
$$

and let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be

$$
Q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\nu_{j}:=u^{H} q_{j} p_{j}^{H} v, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d
$$

Theorem 2 Let $K$ be the maximum size of Jordan blocks of $J_{n-d}$. If $A-\lambda B$ is regular and $A$ is diagonalizable then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\nu_{j}}{z-\lambda_{j}}+g(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $K-1$.

Proof. Let $P$ be partitioned in the form

$$
P=\binom{P_{1}}{P_{2}},
$$

where $P_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times n}$ and $P_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-d) \times n}$, and let

$$
Q=\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right),
$$

where $Q_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times d}$ and $Q_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times(n-d)}$.
According to Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(z) & =u^{H}(z B-A)^{-1} v \\
& =u^{H} Q\left(\begin{array}{cc}
z I_{d}-J_{d} & O \\
O & z J_{n-d}-I_{n-d}
\end{array}\right)^{-1} P v \\
& =u^{H} Q_{1}\left(z I_{d}-J_{d}\right)^{-1} P_{1} v+u^{H} Q_{2}\left(I_{n-d}-z J_{n-d}\right)^{-1} P_{2} v . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\left(z I_{d}-J_{d}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(z-\lambda_{1}\right)^{-1} & & & \\
& \left(z-\lambda_{2}\right)^{-1} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \left(z-\lambda_{d}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{H} Q_{1}\left(z I_{d}-J_{d}\right)^{-1} P_{1} v \\
&=\left(u^{H} q_{1}, \ldots, u^{H} q_{d}\right)\left(z I_{d}-J_{d}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{1} v \\
\vdots \\
p_{d} v
\end{array}\right) \\
&=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{u^{H} q_{j} p_{j}^{H} v}{z-\lambda_{j}} \\
&=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\nu_{j}}{z-\lambda_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & & \\
& 0 & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}
$$

Then

$$
(I-z D)^{-1}=\left(I+z D+(z D)^{2}+\cdots+(z D)^{k-1}\right)
$$

Therefore if the maximum size of Jordan blocks of $\left(I_{n-d}-z J_{n-d}\right)$ is $K$, then

$$
g(z):=u^{H} Q_{2}\left(I_{n-d}-z J_{n-d}\right)^{-1} P_{2} v
$$

is a polynomial of degree $K-1$.

The resolvent form of a pencil has important roles in eigenvalue problems and matrix analysis (see e.g., [2] and [8]).

Let $\Gamma$ be a positively oriented closed Jordan curve in the complex plane. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ be distinct eigenvalues that lie in the interior of $\Gamma$. We consider the problem to find all the poles of $f(z)$ inside $\Gamma$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} z^{k} f(z) d z, \quad k=0,1, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the $m \times m$ Hankel matrices $H_{m}$ and $H_{m}^{<}$be

$$
H_{m}:=\left[\mu_{i+j-2}\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \quad \text { and } \quad H_{m}^{<}:=\left[\mu_{i+j-1}\right]_{i, j=1}^{m}
$$

We have the following theorem:
Theorem 3 If $\nu_{j} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ then the eigenvalues of the pencil $H_{m}^{<}-\lambda H_{m}$ are given by $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$.

Proof. Let $V_{m}$ be the Vandermonde matrix

$$
V_{m}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & \cdots & \lambda_{m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\lambda_{1}^{m-1} & \lambda_{2}^{m-1} & \cdots & \lambda_{m}^{m-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $D_{m}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{m}\right)$ and $\Lambda_{m}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$. The residue theorem implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu_{j} \lambda_{j}^{k}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we can verify that

$$
H_{m}=V_{m} D_{m} V_{m}^{T}
$$

and

$$
H_{m}^{<}=V_{m} D_{m} \Lambda_{m} V_{m}^{T}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{m}^{<}-\lambda H_{m} & =V_{m} D_{m} \Lambda V_{m}^{T}-\lambda V_{m} D_{m} V_{m}^{T} \\
& =V_{m} D_{m}(\Lambda-\lambda I) V_{m}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ are distinct, $V_{m}$ is nonsingular, and since $D_{m}$ is also nonsingular, we have the result of the theorem.

Therefore, we can obtain the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ by solving the generalized eigenvalue problem $H_{m}^{<} x=\lambda H_{m} x$. If we find an appropriate $\Gamma$ that includes a small number of eigenvalues, then the size of the derived eigenproblem is small. Note that the elements of $H_{m}$ and $H_{m}^{<}$are defined via the integration (4).

## 3 The case in which $\Gamma$ is given by a circle

In this section, we consider the case in which $\Gamma$ is given by a circle and the integration is evaluated via a trapezoidal rule on the circle. Let $\gamma$ and $\rho$ be the center and the radius, respectively, of the given circle. Let $N$ be a positive integer, and let

$$
\omega_{j}:=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{N} j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, N-1 .
$$

If $\Gamma$ is the unit circle, we obtain the following approximations for $\mu_{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k} \approx \hat{\mu}_{k}:=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega_{j}^{k+1} f\left(\omega_{j}\right), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by approximating the integral of equation (4) via the $N$-point trapezoidal rule. Note that

$$
f\left(\omega_{j}\right)=v^{H}\left(\omega_{j} B-A\right)^{-1} u,
$$

and the equation (6) can be evaluated via the first Fourier transform.
When $\Gamma$ is not the unit circle, we apply the shift and the scaling for $f(z)$, i.e., we use $f\left(\gamma+\rho \omega_{j}\right)$ instead of $f\left(\omega_{j}\right)$.

Let the $m \times m$ Hankel matrices $\hat{H}_{m}$ and $\hat{H}_{m}^{<}$be

$$
\hat{H}_{m}:=\left[\hat{\mu}_{i+j-2}\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{H}_{m}^{<}:=\left[\hat{\mu}_{i+j-1}\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} .
$$

Let $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{m}$ be the eigenvalues of the pencil $\hat{H}_{m}^{<}-\lambda \hat{H}_{m}$. Then we regard $\hat{\lambda}_{j}=\gamma+\rho \zeta_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$ as the approximations for $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$.

Thus, we obtain the following:

## Algorithm:

Input: $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, N, m, \gamma, \rho$
Output: $\hat{\lambda}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\lambda}_{m}$

1. Set $\omega_{j} \leftarrow \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{i} j / N), j=0, \ldots, N-1$
2. Form $y_{j}=\left(\left(\gamma+\rho \omega_{j}\right) B-A\right)^{-1} u, j=0, \ldots, N-1$
3. Set $f_{j} \leftarrow v^{H} y_{j}, j=0, \ldots, N-1$
4. Compute $\hat{\mu}_{k}, k=0, \ldots, 2 m-1$
5. Compute the eigenvalues $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{m}$ of the pencil $\hat{H}_{m}^{<}-\lambda \hat{H}_{m}$.
6. Set $\hat{\lambda}_{j} \leftarrow \gamma+\rho \zeta_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$.

Let us now investigate the influence of the quadrature error of $\hat{\mu}_{k}$ in the algorithm. Error analyses for the eigenvalues of the pencil $H_{m}^{<}-\lambda H_{m}$ are presented in [12] and [17]. Let $\eta$ be

$$
\eta:=\min _{j>m} \frac{\left|\lambda_{j}-\gamma\right|}{\rho} .
$$

Then, we have the following error estimation for the computational results:

$$
\left|\hat{\lambda}_{j}-\lambda_{j}\right|=O\left(\eta^{2 m-N}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m
$$

if $N \geq 2 m$.

## 4 Numerical examples

We provide various numerical examples of the proposed method. The algorithm was implemented in Matlab Ver. 6.0 for a Linux operating system. Computation was done with double precision arithmetic. The vectors $u$ and $v$ were chosen randomly using the Matlab function 'rand'. In Example 1, 2 and 3, the linear equations that appear in the calculation for $f(z)$ were solved via the Matlab command ' $\backslash$ '. In Example 4, the linear equations were solved by the preconditioned Bi-CGSTAB method [20] in conjugation with the incomplete LU factorization. The stopping criterion for the residual was $10^{-12}$.

Example 1 Let us illustrate the results in the previous sections using a simple example [18]. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{99}{100} & \frac{1}{100} & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{7}\\
0 & \frac{98}{100} & \ddots & & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{100} & \frac{1}{100} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \frac{0}{100}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and let $B=I$. The eigenvalues of the pencil $A-\lambda B$ are $\lambda_{j}=(j-1) / 100$ for $j=1, \ldots, 100$.

The eigenvalues in the circle with center $\gamma=0.015$ and radius $\rho=0.02$ are $\lambda=0.0,0.01,0.02$, and 0.03 . The computational results obtained using the parameters $m=4$ and $N=64$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\lambda}_{1}=-0.0000000220414688 \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{2}=0.0099996628360414 \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{3}=0.0199994536835002 \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{4}=0.0299998807651666
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum error of $\left\{\hat{\lambda}_{j}\right\}$ was $5.5 \times 10^{-7}$. In this case, $\eta=1.25$, and $\eta^{2 m-N}=\eta^{-56} \approx 3.7 \times 10^{-6}$. The computational results for $N=128$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\lambda}_{1}=-0.000000000000082, \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{2}=0.009999999999377, \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{3}=0.019999999999253, \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{4}=0.029999999999877 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum error of $\left\{\hat{\lambda}_{j}\right\}$ was $7.5 \times 10^{-13}$, and $\eta^{2 m-N}=\eta^{-120} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-12}$.
Example $2 A$ is given by (7). $B$ is an $n \times n$ matrix

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{4}$ is an identity matrix of size four. n this case, $B$ is nonsingular, and the the pencil has only four eigenvalues at $0,0.01,0.02$ and 0.03 . All of the eigenvalues are located inside the circle.

The computational results obtained using $N=16$ and $m=4$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\lambda}_{1}=0.0000000000000000, \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{2}=0.0099999999999999, \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{3}=0.0200000000000001, \\
& \hat{\lambda}_{4}=0.0300000000000000 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum error of $\left\{\hat{\lambda}_{j}\right\}$ was $3.2 \times 10^{-16}$.
Example 3 A problem that arises in the modal analysis of dissipative magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) [3]. Both $A$ and $B$ are nonsymmetric, and $n=416$.

In Figure 1, we show the results obtained using parameters $\gamma=-0.2+0.6 i$, $\rho=0.05, m=5$, and $N=64$. The + symbol denotes $\left\{\lambda_{j}^{*}\right\}$ that are evaluated via the Matlab command 'eig'. The circle formed by the dotted line shows $\Gamma$, and the o symbol denotes $\left\{\hat{\lambda}_{j}\right\}$. The presented method found five eigenvalues inside the circle. The maximum error of $\left\{\hat{\lambda}_{j}\right\}$ was $8.5 \times 10^{-7}$.

Example 4 A problem that is derived from the finite element method for a molecular electronic state [9]. Both $A$ and $B$ are real symmetric, and $n=9264$. The number of nonzero elements is 240968 in both $A$ and $B$.

We computed four eigenvalues in the interval $[-10,-8]$. The results obtained using $\gamma=-9, \rho=1, N=32$ and $m=4$ are given in Table 1. In the table, $\lambda_{j}^{*}$ was evaluated via the Matlab command 'eigs' with the starting value $\hat{\lambda}_{j}$.

The results obtained using $\gamma=-9, \rho=0.1, N=32$ and $m=3$ are given in Table 2.


Figure 1: Approximate eigenvalues for Example 3

Table 1: Example 4: $\gamma=-9, \rho=1, N=32, m=4$

| $j$ | $\hat{\lambda}_{j}$ | $\left\|\hat{\lambda}_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{*}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-9.01565065746990-0.00000000921016 i$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 2 | $-8.98265731343177-0.00000003453753 i$ | $6.6 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | $-8.91765400027911-0.00000000888371 i$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 4 | $-8.57926086161660-0.00000000000034 i$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-11}$ |

Table 2: Example 4: $\gamma=-9, \rho=0.1, N=32, m=3$

| $j$ | $\hat{\lambda}_{j}$ | $\left\|\hat{\lambda}_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{*}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-9.01565230691512-0.00000000000001 i$ | $2.7 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 2 | $-8.98266396293680-0.00000000000003 i$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 3 | $-8.91765596597219-0.00000000000000 i$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-13}$ |
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